



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/740,463 | 12/22/2003 | Pao-Jean Chen | 3313-1081P | 3610 |
| 2292 | 7590 09/22/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STE | WART KOLASCH & | KNAPP, JUSTIN R | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2182 | |
| | | | • | |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| K | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summan | 10/740,463 | CHEN, PAO-JEAN | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Justin Knapp | 2182 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be found in the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON | DN. limely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| .5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summai | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail I | Date Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac | tion Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 091405 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/740,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 5 claims "an USB module, used to connect to a main host computer to transmit data" and "a control module, used to receive said main host computer's transmitted data". There should be step, for example, coupling the USB module with the control module to more clearly show how the control module receives the transmitted data via the USB module that is connected to the host computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bardmesser, USPN 5,986,992 in view of Targus Slimline USB External Floppy Drive (herein Targus), http://www.targus.com/us/product_details.asp?sku=PA905U, Wayback Machine date of October 3, 2003.

Page 3

5. As per claims 1-5, Bardmesser teaches a method and a disk cartridge and disk drive that comprise a portable storage device used to perform the method as follows:

Looking at Figure 4. Bardmesser teaches retrieving a remaining data storage capacity about a portable storage device from a main computer host (step S12). The remaining data storage capacity is then stored in a memory on the disk of the portable storage device (step S15). A signal for displaying the remaining storage capacity is given and the remaining storage capacity is displayed (step S16).

Bardmesser does not explicitly teach wherein the portable storage device utilizes a USB module to connect to a main host computer to transmit data. Bardmesser does teach that the portable storage device (which again is comprised of a disk drive and disk cartridge) may represent any of a number of types of writable storage technologies including magnetic storage (col 3, lines 24-29). Targus teaches an external portable storage device that utilizes magnetic storage, an external Floppy Drive which utilizes the USB protocol to connect to and communicate with a main host computer (see Product Description). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bardmesser with those of Targus. Doing so would provide a portable storage device with hotplugging capabilities and would be able to communicate with a plurality of computer systems using a well known protocol that would provide increased compatibility.

As per claim 6, Bardmesser in view of Targus teaches a power module used to provide 6. power to the various components of the portable storage device (this is an inherent property of the USB specification to provide power to a USB device). It is also well known in the art to provide a USB compatible device with an independent power source for the device if the device Application/Control Number: 10/740,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

can not be fully powered through the USB connection that connects the device to a main host computer.

7. As per claim 7, Bardmesser in view of Targus teaches an LCD screen (Bardmesser, col 4, line 67 through col 5, line 4).

8. As per claims 2-4 and 8-10, Bardmesser in view of Targus teaches wherein said main host computer is a notebook computer (Targus, Features section). It is extremely well known in the art that a desktop computer or a palmtop computer would also be able to act as a host main computer provided it was USB compatible.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,463

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Knapp whose telephone number is (571)272-4149. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571)272-4083. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin Knapp Examiner

Art Unit 2182

jrk

KIM HUYNH

16/05

Page 5

RIMARY EXAMINER